10/25/69

Gary, Paul, re: Penkovsky-Davison

I now have the London Times stories referred to in Paul's memo on this. In addition, there was the typical pro forma editorial I did not bother to get.

If either of you wants these for copying, please let me know and I'll lend them. Several are rather large and will have to be pieced together. I have not yet had time to read them.

I am filing these under "Penkovsky", so if you ask, please remind me where I've put them.

I expect to see Bud again next week, before he goes to England. I shall then ask him to seek the English book.

At the risk of sounding paranoid, unless there is compelling reason to the contrary, such as other doing constructive, meaningful work on this aspect, I would suggest distribution be limited. My reasons are dual: there are those of us in whom I, for what I regard as sufficient reason, regard as undependable, and there seems to be no prospect they may add anything; and from my experience, this has a meaningful ring. I think it may well have deep significance, and I think the less known about it the better theprospect of further developments.

Other things to which I shall allude in other memos will, at least indirectly, relate to this.